

IN THE DRAWINGS

Please replace the drawing sheets with the corrected drawing sheets for Figures 27 and 28 attached to the Submission of Replacement Drawing Sheets submitted herewith.

REMARKS

Claims 1-45 are pending in the application. Claims 8-10 and 23-45 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to non-elected inventions. In the Office Action of October 18, 2005, the Examiner made the following disposition:

- A.) Objected to Figures 27 and 28.
- B.) Objected to the Title of the Invention.
- C.) Rejected claims 1-4, 16, and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as allegedly being anticipated by *Moon*.
- D.) Rejected claims 5 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over *Moon* in view of *Yamanaka*.
- E.) Rejected claims 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over *Moon* in view of *Kubota, et al.* and *Kitagawa, et al.*
- F.) Rejected claim 21 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over *Moon*.
- G.) Rejected claims 1, 7, and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over *Kim* in view of *Kubota, et al.* in view of *Baek*.
- H.) Rejected claims 13 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over *Kim* in view of *Kubota, et al.* in view of *Baek* and further in view of *Abileah*.
- I.) Rejected claims 16 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over *Ikeno, et al.* in view of *Kubota, et al.* in view of *Baek*.
- J.) Objected to claims 18-20.

Applicants address the Examiner's disposition below. Claims 1-7, 11-17, and 21-22 have been canceled without prejudice.

A.) Objection to Figures 27 and 28:

Figures 27 and 28 have been amended as per the Examiner's request to overcome the objection.

Applicants respectfully submit the objection has been overcome and request that it be withdrawn.

B.) Objection to the Title of the Invention:

The Title of the Invention has been amended as per the Examiner's request to overcome the objection.

Applicants respectfully submit the objection has been overcome and request that it be

withdrawn.

- C.) Rejection of claims 1-4, 16, and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as allegedly being anticipated by Moon:

Claims 1-4, 16, and 17 have been canceled without prejudice.

Applicants respectfully submit the rejection has been overcome and should be withdrawn.

- D.) Rejection of claims 5 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Moon in view of Yamanaka:

Claims 5 and 6 have been canceled without prejudice.

Applicants respectfully submit the rejection has been overcome and should be withdrawn.

- E.) Rejection of claims 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Moon in view of Kubota, et al. and Kitagawa, et al.:

Claims 11 and 12 have been canceled without prejudice.

Applicants respectfully submit the rejection has been overcome and should be withdrawn.

- F.) Rejection of claim 21 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Moon:

Claim 21 have been canceled without prejudice.

Applicants respectfully submit the rejection has been overcome and should be withdrawn.

- G.) Rejection of claims 1, 7, and 15 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Kim in view of Kubota, et al. in view of Baek:

Claims 1, 7, and 15 have been canceled without prejudice.

Applicants respectfully submit the rejection has been overcome and should be withdrawn.

- H.) Rejection of claims 13 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Kim in view of Kubota, et al. in view of Baek and further in view of Abileah:

Claims 13 and 14 have been canceled without prejudice.

Applicants respectfully submit the rejection has been overcome and should be withdrawn.

- I.) Rejection of claims 16 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Ikeno, et al. in view of Kubota, et al. in view of Baek:

Claims 16 and 22 have been canceled without prejudice.

Applicants respectfully submit the rejection has been overcome and should be withdrawn.

J.) Objection to claims 18-20:

Applicants respectfully acknowledge the Examiner's finding of allowable subject matter in claims 18-20.

Claim 18 has been amended to be placed in independent including the subject matter of the claim from which it depends and any intervening claims. Claims 19 and 20 depend from claim 18.


Therefore, claims 18-20 are allowable.

Applicants respectfully submit the objection has been overcome and request that it be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that claims 18-20 are patentable. It is therefore submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

 (Reg. No. 45,034)
Christopher P. Rauch
SONNENSCHNEIDER, NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP
P.O. Box #061080
Wacker Drive Station - Sears Tower
Chicago, IL 60606-1080
Telephone 312/876-2606
Customer #26263
Attorneys for Applicant(s)